Reusing Deep Learning Models: Challenges and Directions in Software Engineering

George K. Thiruvathukal, Professor and Chairperson
Loyola University Chicago, Computer Science Department
Visiting Computer Scientist, Argonne National Laboratory
(w) https://gkt.sh (e) gkt@cs.luc.edu

Co-Authors: James C. Davis*, Purvish Jajal*, Wenxin Jiang*, Taylor Schorlemmer*, Nicholas Synovic+, and George K. Thiruvathukal+

*Purdue University +Loyola University Chicago

We acknowledge financial support from Google, Cisco, and NSF awards 2229703, 2107230, 2107020, and 2104319.
Talk overview

1. Software Engineering Refresher
2. Machine Learning and Pre-Trained Models
3. Reuse Challenges
4. Future Directions
5. Additional Reading
Software Engineering Refresher
Retrospective: What is Software Engineering?

“Software Engineering is (1) the application of a systematic, disciplined, quantifiable approach to the development, operation, and maintenance of software; that is, the application of engineering to software and (2) the study of approaches as in (1)”


This forward-looking definition of SE from 1993 (IEEE!) speaks to the importance not only of software methodology but also the study of how software comes to be.

Machine learning software is still software by any other name and is therefore worthy of further study and community attention.
Two Primary Kinds of SE Research

Observations

Open problems

Solutions
Machine Learning and Pre-Trained Models
What is a Neural Network?

https://srnghn.medium.com/deep-learning-overview-of-neurons-and-activation-functions-1d98286cf1e4
Huge models, huge training costs (and growing)

60M parameters  110M parameters  175B parameters

Carbon footprint costs measured using BERT in 2019

Pre-trained neural networks

**PTNN**: A neural network that is already parameterized for an application

Example use: Transfer learning
PTMs vs. Traditional Software Package Reuse

```
1  #
2  # This file is autogenerated
3  # To update, run:
4  #
5  #   pip-compile
6  #
7  contourpy==1.0.6
8  #   via matplotlib
9  cycler==0.11.0
10 #   via matplotlib

19  "dependencies": {
20    "markdown-toc": "^1.2.0"
21  }
```
Model Hubs

What is a model hub?

- A model hub is a hosted platform of pre-trained models (PTMs) and datasets organized by problem domain.

10,000,000+
Reuse Challenges
Deep neural network reuse is the process of using existing DNN technology for another purpose.

We focus on three distinct types: *conceptual reuse*, where existing theory is repurposed; *adaptation reuse*, where existing DNN models are modified; and *deployment reuse* where existing DNN models are converted for use in a new environment.

Dashed boxes provide examples of each type.
Reuse in Deep Learning (DNNs)

**Conceptual Reuse**
Replicate and reengineer the algorithms, model architectures, or other concepts described in academic literature and similar sources, integrating the replication into new projects.

**Adaptation Reuse**
Leverage existing DNN models and adapt them to solve different learning tasks.

**Deployment Reuse**
Convert and deploy pre-trained DNN models in different computational environments and frameworks.
Components of a deep neural network (DNN), represented at different levels of abstraction. A DNN is a composition of weighted operations. These are combined into a layer; a group of layers into a block; and a group of blocks into a sub-graph such as a backbone or a head.
Replicate and reengineer the algorithms, model architectures, or other concepts described in academic literature and similar sources, integrating the replication into new projects.
Data Pipelines

Well established pattern from industry.

Illustration of a data pipeline following the Extract-Transform-Load design pattern.

The specific pipeline is for the You-Only-Look-Once (YOLO) model family (computer vision)
Reproducibility of Results

Reproducibility is considered a key quality of machine learning software, yet achieving DNN reproducibility remains a challenging task and continues to be a focal point within the research community.

Many SOTA models are prototype stage. This stage is typically characterized by an absence of rigorous testing, inadequate documentation, and a lack of considerations for portability.

Model Replication and Reengineering

Replicating and reengineering DNNs is tricky, even when referring to the original code of the research prototypes.

Our group has previously reported on three challenges of DNN replication and reengineering: model operationalization, portability of DL operations, and performance debugging.
Adaptation Reuse

Leverage existing DNN models and adapt them to solve different learning tasks.
Adaptation Reuse Challenges

**Technical Adaptation**

Accuracy and latency issues. **Lack of push-button solutions** for adapting DNNs across diverse hardware environments (heterogeneous compute is a thing)

**Fairness and robustness** is an ongoing challenge. Techniques such as local interpretability and model-agnostic methods can help

**More modular designs** (similar to traditional software) can help.

**Decision Making**

**Registries often lack infrastructure and attributes** helpful to the reuse process: provenance, reproducibility, and portability.

Traditional software info, e.g. **popularity, quality, and maintenance, are often emphasized** instead (not sufficient).

**Security and privacy attacks** (studied by our group) are a concern: train-time attacks, idle-time attacks, inference-time attacks, and traditional software supply chain attacks.
Deployment Reuse

Convert and deploy pre-trained DNN models in different computational environments and frameworks.
Interoperability

Specialized compute platforms and novel architectures present a great challenge for deployment.

Deep learning compilers can help; however, not all frameworks and their operators are supported on different hardware.

We’re looking at model conversion failures in emerging work within our group by doing failure studies. Operator conversion is a common cause of failure.

Establishing Trust in Supply Chains

Similar to traditional software supply chains (e.g. Node), establishing trust is a major challenge. Anyone can release anything (for the most part).

Users are often unwilling or unable to check for various possible attacks.

Traditional software methods such as Software Bills of Materials (SBOMs) and reproducible builds are more difficult for DNNs, owing to non-determinism and training costs.
Future Research Directions
Evaluate artifacts at conferences/journals to look beyond basic reproducibility by including software engineering aspects from traditional software. Consider a “checklist” approach similar to Journal of Open Source Software.

Testing tools are emerging for DNNs but need greater adoption. Make use of checklists to aid in assessing conceptual reuse potential.

Make use proper testing tools across the board: e.g., validation tools, unit testing, and fuzz testing (for security aspects).
Future Directions: Adaptation Reuse

Prior work found that the trustworthiness of DNNs are concerning due to the lack of DNN transparency. Future work can measure attributes of DNNs by extracting from provided documentation, source code, and metadata.

Engineers struggle to compare different DNNs and identify a good way to adapt to their downstream task. To facilitate the adaptation, researchers can identify different approaches to support the model selection process. e.g., providing enhanced documentation, similar to the badges used by GitHub.

Open-source PTMs remain underutilized, suggesting the need for a robust PTM recommendation system aid engineers in adaptation reuse.

Specific attack detection tools are currently missing in DL model registries. Adding such tools would help to improve trust of registries.
Future Directions: Deployment Reuse

Model converters (e.g. ONNX) often produce models that are semantically not equivalent to the original models, pointing to a need for more rigor in the intermediate representation or stronger type checking.

Model converters suffer from incompatibilities with the evolution of intermediate representation, better focused by understanding operator popularity, and domain-specific languages can be used to automatically (and safely) generate converter code.

Build on existing software Supply Chain Security tech for DNNs: The software engineering community has been working on systems such as TUF and Sigstore to increase the usability and effectiveness of signatures for package managers.
Future Directions: Assessing SE Process of DNNs

There is a lack of tools that quantify what is and is not an effective SE process for DNNs. SE process must look beyond the code-based versioning model.

Mining repositories is a major challenge. Beyond source code: training dataset (sometimes prohibitively large), configuration, and documentation are all crucial to understanding PTMs.

We’ve created a PTMTorrent data set to aid in mining HuggingFace and other hubs for MSR 2023 with a new/richer version planned for 2024.
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